



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,315	06/28/2004	Shichao Ge	4582-008	9868
22429	7590	04/18/2007	EXAMINER	
LOWE HAUPTMAN BERNER, LLP			SEMBER, THOMAS M	
1700 DIAGONAL ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 300			2885	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

EJ

**Advisory Action
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief**

Application No.

10/500,315

Applicant(s)

GE, SHICHAO

Examiner

Thomas M. Sember

Art Unit

2885

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 29 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
- (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 - (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to: _____.

Claim(s) rejected: 1-16.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____

13. Other: _____.



Thomas M Sember
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 2885

Continuation of 3. NOTE: In an attempt to correct the rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 112, the applicant further broadened applicant's claim 1 so that "the circuit board is only provided on or around base" not "where the base is mountly direrctly on a circuit board." This new broader claimed limitation would require a further update and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues that AAPA fails to disclose the details of claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7 and 9, the examiner disagrees. As broadly claimed, AAPA discloses "At least one LED chip (101, Fig. 1) directly mounted on a metal base of high heat conductivity (103, Fig. 1). Wherein the LED chip is electrically connected to an applied power supply/driving circuit through the CB (107) via outgoing LED leads (105). Wherein the LED has a transparent medium layer/bulb housing/optical glue and lens disposed thereon (108/109). Wherein the CB 107 is provided on or around the base 103. Furthermore, applicant further argues that the 35 U.S.C. rejection of AAPA in view of U.S. Patent Application No. 2002/0071275 to Worgan et al. ("Worgan"), U.S. Patent No. 6,220,722 to Begemann, U.S. Patent No. 6,505,301 to Lowery, U.S. Patent No. 6,827,470 to Sagal et al. ("Sagal"), and U.S. Patent No. 3,936,686 to Moore fails to teach applicant's claimed invention by pointing out features claimed in independent claim 1. AAPA was combined with the secondary references for AAPA's failure to teach other claimed features not the features of claim 1.



THOMAS M. SEMBER
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER